Application No. Applicant(s) 09/884.953 BERNARD ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1651 Jon P Weber, Ph.D. All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jon P Weber, Ph.D.. (4) . (2) Jennifer Topmiller. Date of Interview: 02 December 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Faxed proposal. Claim(s) discussed: 10. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Elaborated position to attorney why "fragments" of the protease was not enabled by either the disclosure or state of the art. Indicated that small changes due to removal of a couple of residues is encompassed by the molecular weight range of 25-30 kDa. Suggested canceling claims 10-11 and amending 13 todelete fragments as basis for allowance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Paper No.

xapiner's signature, if required